

# *Workshop 2 BAS 2024-2025 – Climate change – ESRS E1 best practices*



De in deze presentatie opgenomen informatie en ingenomen standpunten zijn voor rekening van de sprekers en geven niet noodzakelijk het standpunt van het IBR weer.

Les informations contenues dans cette présentation et les opinions exprimées au cours de cette présentation sont celles des orateurs et ne reflètent pas nécessairement l'opinion de l'IRE.



## PRESENTERS





EY



Arnaud Vanhove

EY



Audrey Corbusier

Aquila



## Benchmark : double materiality analysis

#### Overview of the material sustainability matters Detail by ESRS

#### Breakdown by ESRS (average number of material ESRS subtopic per ESRS):

The details by ESRS subtopic are presented in the following pages

ESRS	Total subtopics	Material Subtopics	% material subtopics
ESRS E1 - Climate change	3	3*	93%*
ESRS E2 - Pollution	7	4	52%
ESRS E3 - Water and marine resources	2	1	44%
ESRS E4 - Biodiversity and ecosystems	4	2	60%
ESRS E5 - Circular economy	3	2	73%
ESRS S1 - Own workforce	3	2*	58%*
ESRS S2 - Workers in the value chain	3	2	56%
ESRS S3 - Affected communities	3	1	45%
ESRS S4 - Consumers and end-users	3	2	67%
ESRS G1 - Business conduct	6	4*	59%*
TOTAL	37	23	61%

\* 100% of the companies in the benchmark considered at least one subtopic as material

#### Overview of the material sustainability matters Detail by ESRS sub-topic on Environment

	Sustainability Matters	% Matériel
ESRS E1	Climate change adaptation	93% 🔵
	Climate change mitigation	100% 🧲
	Energy	85% 🥚
ESRS EZ	Microplastics	41%
	Pollution of air	56% 🥘
	Pollution of water	61% 🥘
	Pollution of soil	53% 🥘
	Pollution of living organisms and food resources	41% 🥘
	Substances of concern	59% 🦲
	Substances of very high concern	56% 🥘
ESRS E3	Water	68% 🥘
	Marine Resources	20% 🔵
ESRS E4	Direct impact drivers of biodiversity loss	71% 🦲
	Impacts on the state of species	53% 🦲
	Impacts on the extent and condition of ecosystem	63% 🥘
	Impacts and dependencies on ecosystem services	54% 🥘
ESRS E5	Resources inflows including resource use	75% 🦲
	Ressources outflows related to products and services	66% 🥘
	Waste	78% 🦲

Low materiality: Less than one-third of the companies in the sample considered this SM to be material

Average materiality: More than one-third and less than 90% of the sample considered this SM to be material

Strong materiality: More than 90% of the sample considered this SM as material

## Our house is burning and we need to anticipate and adapt

BAS



BAS Belgian Awards for Sustainability Reports

## Nations must deliver dramatically stronger ambition and action to the challenge of global warming

The Emission Gap report 2024 highlights the huge climate action required to meet the Paris Agreement.





- We are not on track to meet the Paris Agreement, even if we add both unconditional and conditional NDCs to current policies.
- To maintain the possibility of achieving the 2°C or 1.5°C pathways, global emissions would need to be reduced by an average of 4% and 7.5% every year until 2035. The required annual reductions will increase if action is delayed until 2030.

Emission Gap Report 2024 - UN Environment Programme

## How to improve its company's resilience to future climate challenges?



BAS Belgian Awards for



## Identify triggers that will drive a transition plan





Quantify the related financial effects that will drive a transition plan





**Resource efficiency** 

**Energy sources** 

Low carbon products & services





BAS Belgian Awards for Sustainability Reports Adapting to climate risks can also contribute to a synergistic approach, combining climate adaptation with efforts to reduce GHG emissions.







## Frameworks exist to support companies

Frameworks guide companies through a full transition plan development and disclosure



Reflecting the urgency to act

Action

Translating strategic ambition into concrete, short-term steps

Accountability

Enable delivery through robust governance and reporting

How does a transition plan differ from other decarbonization roadmaps?

Disclosure

Framework

DURABLE

Rendre compte de son pla

AME

de transition climatiq

au format ESRS

0

- It does not need to be produced annually
- It is likely to be longer and more complex.

7

3

It has executive-level buy-in rather than living solely with your sustainability team.



### Frameworks exist to support companies



The ESRS E1 is an extensive standard, requiring companies to report their complete carbon footprint and disclose the allocation of financial resources for their transition plan

		ESRS 2 GOV-3	Integration of sustainability-related performance in incentive schemes
	General	DR E1-1	Transition plan for climate change mitigation
	disclosures	ESRS 2 SBM 3	Material IRO's and their interaction with strategy and business model
		ESRS-2 IRO-1	Description of the processes to identify and assess IRO's
	IRO	DR E1-2	Policies related to climate change mitigation and adaptation
	management	DR E1-3	Actions & resources related to climate change policies
		DR E1-4	Targets related to climate change mitigation and adaptation
(A)	Metrics	DR E1-5	Energy consumption and mix
	&	DR E1-6	Gross scopes 1,2 & 3, and total GHG emissions
	targets	DR E1-7	GHG removals and GHG mitigation projects financed through carbon credits
		DR E1-8	Internal carbon pricing
		DR E1-9	Anticipated financial effects physical & transition risks, climate opportunities
	202 datapoints	93% Mandatory	27% Narrative / 13% Semi-narrative / 59% Numerical



DR E1-1

#### Transition plan for climate change mitigation

ΠΡ		<u>RELATED</u>	ΝΑΝΛΕ	ΠΑΤΑ ΤΥΡΕ	
		<u>AR</u>			
E1-1	14	<u>AR 1</u>	Disclosure of transition plan for climate change mitigation	narrative	
E1-1	16 a	<u>AR 2</u>	Explanation of how targets are compatible with limiting of global warming to one and half degrees Celsius in line with Paris Agreement	narrative	
E1-1	16 b		Disclosure of decarbonisation levers and key action	narrative	
E1-1	16 c		Disclosure of significant operational expenditures (Opex) and (or) capital expenditures (Capex) required for implementation of action plan	narrative	
E1-1	16 c		Financial resources allocated to action plan (OpEx)	monetary	
E1-1	16 с		Financial resources allocated to action plan (CapEx)	monetary	
E1-1	16 d	<u>AR 3</u>	Explanation of potential locked-in GHG emissions from key assets and products and of how locked- in GHG emissions may jeopardise achievement of GHG emission reduction targets and drive transition risk	narrative	
E1-1	16 e	<u>AR 4</u>	Explanation of any objective or plans (CapEx, CapEx plans, OpEx) for aligning economic activities (revenues, CapEx, OpEx) with criteria established in Commission Delegated Regulation 2021/2139	narrative	Conditional
E1-1	16 f	<u>AR 5</u>	Significant CapEx for coal-related economic activities	monetary	Conditional
E1-1	16 f	<u>AR 5</u>	Significant CapEx for oil-related economic activities	monetary	Conditional
E1-1	16 f	<u>AR 5</u>	Significant CapEx for gas-related economic activities	monetary	Conditional
E1-1	16 g		Undertaking is excluded from EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks	semi-narrative	
E1-1	16 h		Explanation of how transition plan is embedded in and aligned with overall business strategy and financial planning	narrative	
E1-1	16 i		Transition plan is approved by administrative, management and supervisory bodies	semi-narrative	
E1-1	16 j		Explanation of progress in implementing transition plan	narrative	
E1-1	17		Date of adoption of transition plan for undertakings not having adopted transition plan yet	gYear	Conditional



## ESRS E1-1 Transition plan for climate change mitigation

Explain how your targets are compatible with the limiting of global warming to 1.5°C in line with the Paris Agreement

- + Confirm whether the transition plan is approved by the administrative, management and supervisory bodies
- + Explain how the transition plan is embedded in and aligned with your overall business strategy and financial planning
- + Be transparent on your progress in implementing the transition plan.

Describe the scenarios selected and the level of ambition

- $\rightarrow$  Validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi)
- → Mention the type of target selected, the scope covered and the level of ambition by 2030 and 2050
- $\rightarrow$  Guided by the latest IPCC reports and NGFS scenarios (Net zero scenario)

#### Potential pitfalls

- Setting targets without a clear roadmap or feasibility analysis,
- Lack of alignment with scientific benchmarks on the different time horizons (e.g., 1.5°C pathway)
- Setting targets without considering sectorspecific challenges and capabilities

Note\*: non-exhaustive

#### Level of evidence required\*

- Detailed records of target-setting process and alignment with scientific benchmarks (ex: use of SBTi)
- Approval evidence by board level
- Memo outlining how transition plan is embedded in the company's strategy

#### Further clarifications

- Compatibility acceptable for only scopes 1
   and 2?
- Time horizon: discrepancy between E1-1 (2050) and E1-3 (at least 2030 and if available 2050)
- What if existing targets = SBTi "WB2°C"?
- What if existing targets = different perimeter than CSRD requirements?



Explain the decarbonation levers identified and key actions planned, including

BAS Belgian Awards for Sustainability Reports

- changes in your products/services portfolio,
- adoption of new technologies in your own operations or the upstream/downstream value chain
- How to transform, decommission or phase out any GHG-intensive and energy-intensive assets/products

Corporate	+			CAPEX	Time
	Scope 1 Scope 2	Scope 1	<ul> <li>Electrification of fuel-based assets</li> <li>Maintenance of refrigerant equipment or lower GWP refrigerants</li> </ul>	Xx M€ Xx M€	>10y <3y
			<ul> <li>Energy efficiency of company vehicles</li> </ul>	Xx M€	3-10y
	scope 3 upstream	Scope 2	- Renewable sourcing - Energy efficiency	Xx M€ Xx M€	<3y 3-10y
		Scope 3	<ul> <li>Raw materials sourcing: supplier engagement, biosourced products, circularity, etc</li> </ul>	Xx M€	3-10y
	Scope 3 downstream		<ul> <li>Logistics optimisation</li> <li>Products ecodesign to reduce energy consumption at use phase</li> </ul>	Xx M€ Xx M€	3-10y 3-10y

#### Potential pitfalls

- Over-reliance on scope 2 and carbon offsetting and failure to address key emission sources (examples from EY Climate Action Barometer 2024)
- Implementing strategies that are not scalable or sustainable in the long term

#### Level of evidence required\*

- Comprehensive plans outlining specific actions and timelines
- Documentation of feasibility studies
- Based on professional judgment, select certain actions for detail testing (invoices, third-party evidence, calculations,...)

#### Further clarifications

- What if the currently identified actions do not meet their targets?
- How to best define key actions linked to transition plan with associated periods (to fill the gap between baseline and target)?

"The undertaking may present its GHG emission reduction targets together with its climate change mitigation actions (see paragraph AR 19) as a table or graphical pathway showing developments over time. The following figure and table provide examples combining targets and decarbonisation levers"

(AR31).

BAS Belgian Awards for Sustainability Reports

## ESRS E1-1 Transition plan for climate change mitigation



Source: ESRS E1 – DR1-4 AR31



## ESRS E1-1 Transition plan for climate change mitigation

Explain and quantify the investments AND funding (significant capEx and opEx) supporting the implementation of the transition plan:

- Definition of "significant"
- capEx in relation with financial statements
- capEx in relation with key performance indicators of taxonomy-aligned CapEx + CapEx plan if relevant;
- capEx in relation to action plan

- X meur to be invested for the next x years (per action of mitigation)
- X% CapEx eligible / aligned to the EU Taxonomy
- Splitting the CapEx between the different taxonomy-eligible activities can be tricky → Use the EU Taxonomy compass

tomo EU Ta	xonomy Compass 🗸	Activities by sector	EU Taxonomy Calcula	ator FAQ	
ome > EU Tax	onomy Compass > El	J Taxonomy Compass			
U Tax	onomy Cor	npass			

https://ec.europa.eu/sustainable-finance-taxonomy/taxonomy-compass

#### Potential pitfalls

- Underestimating the resources required for transition
- Lack of clear funding mechanisms
- Overlooking the need for contingency plans to address unexpected financial challenges

Note\*: non-exhaustive

#### Level of evidence required\*

- Reconciliation with capex information under EU Taxonomy is a minimum
- Quantitative information for time period covered by the Taxonomy + Qualitative information in first 3 years for periods not covering the Taxonomy KPIs

#### Further clarifications

- Should the investment (CapEx) be limited to the EU Taxonomy disclosure?
- How to define incremental financial investments directly contributing to the targets (specifically for scope 3 levers)?



## ESRS E1-1 Transition plan for climate change mitigation

Assess the potential locked-in emissions of your key assets and products and report qualitative assessment:

- Explain if these may jeopardise the achievement of your targets and drive transition risk.
- Explain your plan to manage your GHGintensive and energy intensive assets and products

Refer to the future greenhouse gas emissions that are unavoidable due to existing infrastructures, or investments unless they are prematurely retired or retrofitted

Locked-in Emissions = Annual Emissions × Remaining Lifetime

- $\rightarrow$  As an example: Locked-in emissions of a traditional natural gaspowered furnace, if not retired.
- Measure the annual emissions: total annual kWh consumed x tCO2e/kWh
- Remaining lifetime: Estimate the remaining operational years of the furnace.
- Efficiency improvements: Account for possible retrofitting or decreasing efficiency over time, if applicable.

#### Potential pitfalls

- Inaccurate calculation or documentation of locked-in emissions
- Lack of clear strategies to address or phase out these emissions
- Ignoring potential regulatory changes that could impact locked-in emissions

#### Level of evidence required\*

- Obtain mathematical calculation related to assets identified
- Reconcile data with fixed asset register financial information

#### Further clarifications

- How to deal with locked-in emissions associated with products (versus assets)?
  - Only of products sold within the reporting year?
  - What about products expected to be sold due to the projections and the link with business model?



## Recommendations

#### For the starters

- Define management roles, responsibility and accountability
- Get the Board involved and ensure good governance
- Carbon inventory on the 3 scopes in line with GHG protocol
- Transition plan and targets focused on scopes 1 and 2 (best practice: use of SBTi)
- Define investments and implement decarbonization levers for scopes 1 and 2
- Assess physical and transition risks

#### For more mature organizations

- Improve scope 3 inventory and aim to get supplier specific data
- Define realistic scope 3 targets & identify required investments to implement decarbonization levers
- Boost the executive performance by linking a company's strategic climate impact to monetary incentives
- Define new business opportunities to thrive in a low carbon economy
- Do not limit your focus to mitigation. Address climate challenges (R&O) holistically by also embedding adaptive measures into your strategy.

#### Useful resources (non exhaustive)

- ESRS legal text (<u>https://xbrl.efrag.org/e-esrs/esrs-set1-2023.html#d1e10096-3-1</u>)
- EFRAG: (<u>https://www.efrag.org/en</u>)
  - Q&A plateform: compilation of explanations
  - Implementation Guidance 4: early draft on Transition Plan
- Transition Plan Taskforce : Disclosure Framework (oct23) (https://www.ifrs.org/content/dam/ifrs/knowledgehub/resources/tpt/disclosure-framework-oct-2023.pdf)
- AMF: Plan de Transition Climatique au format ESRS (<u>https://www.amf-</u> <u>france.org/sites/institutionnel/files/private/2024-</u> 02/rendre-compte-de-son-plan-de-transition-auformat-esrs.pdf</u>)



## Thank you for your participation